

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,540	03/02/2004	Christina A. Bednarz	3024.PHM	3381
Karen G. Kaise	7590 03/23/200 r	EXAMINER		
NATIONAL STARCH AND CHEMICAL COMPANY 10 Finderne Avenue Bridgewater, NJ 08807-0500			AHMED, HASAN SYED	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 03/23/2007 PA		PER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Asticus Commons	10/791,540	BEDNARZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hasan S. Ahmed	1615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time  16(ii) apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ja</u>	nuary 2007.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	· _				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 and 22-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 and 22-24 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some color None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)	_				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Interview Summary (PTO-413)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt is acknowledged of applicants': (1) amendment/remarks, which were filed on 5 January 2007; (2) IDS, which was filed on 2 March 2004; and (3) supplemental IDS, which was filed on 10 June 2005.

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group II in the reply filed on 5 January 2007 is acknowledged.

Claims 20 and 21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 5 January 2007.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-8, 11, 14, 15, 16, 22, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Gulian, et al. (U.S. 2003/0072731).

Gulian et al. disclose a gelatin-free composition for capsules (see paragraph 0002). The composition is comprised of:

Application/Control Number: 10/791,540 Page 3

Art Unit: 1615

 the physically induced starch hydrolysate of instant claims 1, 18, and 22 (see paragraph 0028);

- the plasticizer of instant claim 1 (see paragraph 0024);
- the gelling agent of instant claim 1 (see paragraph 0018);
- the waxy starch of instant claim 2 (see paragraph 0024);
- the glycerin of instant claims 11, 18, and 22 (see paragraph 0024);
- the gellan gum of instant claim 14, 18 and 22 (see paragraph 0018);
- the low acyl gellan gum ("Kelco gel") of instant claim 15 (see example 11);
- the hard capsule of instant claim 22 (see paragraph 0022); and
- the essentially gelatin-free capsule of instant claim 24 (see abstract).

The processes of jet cooking and extrusion recited in claims 3-7 are not essential to a determination of patentability of the composition. As explained by the court in *In re Thorpe et. al.* (CAFC 1985) 779 F2d 695, "A claim to a composition defined by reference to the process by which it is produced, is not limited to compositions produced by the process recited in the claim."

The dextrose equivalent recited in claims 8, 18, and 22 is an inherent feature of the starch disclosed in the Gulian et al. reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 9, 10, 12, 13, 16, 17, 19, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gulian, et al. (U.S. 2003/0072731).

Gulian et al. disclose a gelatin-free composition for capsules (see above).

Gulian et al. explain that the disclosed composition is beneficial because the disadvantages of gelatin coated formulations are avoided (see paragraph 0010). Gelatin coated formulations have disadvantages such as the potential for decreased dissolution rate after extended storage, potential for microbial contamination during processing, long processing times, and high energy costs (see paragraph 0007).

While Gulian et al. do not explicitly teach the percentages recited in instant claims 9, 10, 12, 13, 16, 17, 19, and 23, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to determine suitable percentages through routine or manipulative experimentation to obtain the best possible results, as these are variable parameters attainable within the art.

Moreover, generally, differences in concentration will not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such concentration is critical. "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). Applicants have not demonstrated any unexpected or unusual results, which accrue from the instant percentage ranges.

Art Unit: 1615

### Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hasan S. Ahmed whose telephone number is 571-272-4792. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Woodward can be reached on 571-272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



\*\*